

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

JOSE OLIVERAS SANTOS

§

v.

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:23-CV-15-RWS-JBB

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

§

ORDER

Before the Court is Petitioner Jose Santos's petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. §2241 challenging the legality of his conviction. Docket No. 1. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge J. Boone Baxter in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On October 22, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending the above application for the writ of habeas corpus be dismissed as moot. Docket No. 6. A copy of the Report and Recommendation was sent to Petitioner at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received.¹ Because no objections have been received, Petitioner is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendations. Moreover, except upon grounds of plain error, an aggrieved party is barred from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the District Court. *See Duarte v. City of Lewisville, Texas*, 858 F.3d 348, 352 (5th Cir. 2017); *Arriaga v. Laxminarayan*, Case No. 4:21-CV-00203- RAS, 2021 WL 3287683, at *1 (E.D. Tex. July 31, 2021).

¹ The Fifth Circuit has explained that where a letter is properly placed in the United States mail, a presumption exists that the letter reached its destination in the usual time and was actually received by the person to whom it was addressed. *Faciane v. Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada*, 931 F.3d 412, 420–21 and n.9 (5th Cir. 2019).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this case and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law"). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED the above-captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED-AS-MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 10th day of February, 2025.


ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE